ehp ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PERSPECTIVES

ehponline.org

Airborne $PM_{2.5}$ Chemical Components and Low Birth Weight in the Northeastern and Mid-Atlantic Regions of the United States

Keita Ebisu, Michelle L. Bell

http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104763

Online 20 September 2012

NIEHS
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

National Institutes of Health
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Airborne $PM_{2.5}$ Chemical Components and Low Birth Weight in the Northeastern and Mid-Atlantic Regions of the United States

Keita Ebisu[1]

Michelle L. Bell[1]

1- Yale University, School of Forestry and Environmental Studies, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

Corresponding Author

Keita Ebisu

Yale University

New Haven, CT 06511

Phone: (203) 432-9869

Fax: (203) 436 9158

Email: keita.ebisu@aya.yale.edu

Running Title: Air Pollution and Low Birth Weight

Key Words: Air pollution, Environmental health, Epidemiology, Low Birth Weight

Acknowledgements: We thank Dr. Kathleen Belanger, Yale Center for Perinatal, Pediatric and Environmental Epidemiology. This work was supported by the Environmental Protection Agency (RD 83479801) and NIEHS (R01ES016317, R01ES019560, R01ES019587, and R01ES015028).

Disclaimers/ Competing Interests Declaration: The authors declare no competing interests.

Abbreviations:

AT: apparent temperature

CI: confidence interval

CO: carbon monoxide

EPA: Environmental Protection Agency

IQR: Interquartile Range

LBW: low birth weight

LMP: last menstrual period

NO_2: nitrogen dioxide

O_3: ozone

PM: particulate matter

PM_{10}: particulate matter less than 10 μm

$PM_{2.5}$: particulate matter less than 2.5 μm

SD: standard deviation

SO_2 : sulfur dioxide

Abstract

Background: Previous studies on air pollutants and birth outcomes have reported inconsistent results. Chemical components of particulate matter ≤2.5μm ($PM_{2.5}$) composition are spatially heterogeneous, which might contribute to discrepancies across $PM_{2.5}$ studies.

Objectives: We explored whether birth weight at term is affected by $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} and gaseous pollutants.

Methods: Exposures during gestation and each trimester were calculated for $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components, PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$, carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide , ozone, and sulfur dioxide for births in 2000-2007 for states in the northeastern and mid-Atlantic U.S. Associations between exposures and risk of low birth weight (LBW) were adjusted by family and individual characteristics and region. Interaction terms were used to investigate whether risk differs by race or sex.

Results: Several $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components were associated with LBW. Risk increased 4.9% (95% confidence interval: 3.4, 6.5%), 4.7% (3.2, 6.2%), 5.7 (2.7, 8.8%) and 5.0% (3.1,7.0%) per interquartile range increase of $PM_{2.5}$ aluminum, elemental carbon, nickel, and titanium, respectively. Other $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components and gaseous pollutants showed associations, but were not statistically significant in multi-pollutant models. The trimester associated with the highest relative risk differed among pollutants. Effect estimates for $PM_{2.5}$ elemental carbon and nickel were higher for infants of white mothers than African-American mothers, and for males than females.

Conclusions: Most exposure levels in our study area were in compliance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency air pollution standards; however, we identified associations between $PM_{2.5}$ components and LBW. Findings suggest that some $PM_{2.5}$ components may be more harmful than others, and that some groups may be particularly susceptible.

Introduction

Adverse birth outcomes, such as low birth weight (LBW), increase risk of mortality and morbidity, such as cardiovascular related events, during childhood (Kannan et al. 2006). In the last decade, numerous studies reported associations between levels of ambient air pollutants and adverse birth outcomes, although results are not consistent regarding the relevance of specific pollutants or the trimester of exposure. Associations between particulate matter (PM) and pregnancy outcomes differ by study, although many findings suggest an association. Exposure to PM $\leq$10μm (PM_{10}) and 2.5μm ($PM_{2.5}$) in aerodynamic diameter during gestation has been associated with LBW in some studies (Huynh et al. 2006; Morello-Frosch et al. 2010; Seo et al. 2010), but not others (Madsen et al. 2010; Seo et al. 2010). More studies have been conducted for gaseous pollutants, although results have also been inconsistent, such as for nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) (Maroziene et al. 2002; Morello-Frosch et al. 2010), sulfur dioxide (SO_2) (Bobak et al. 1999; Lin et al. 2004), carbon monoxide (CO) (Liu et al. 2003; Ritz et al. 1999), and ozone (O_3) (Morello-Frosch et al. 2010; Salam et al. 2005).

Several literature reviews of pollutant effects on adverse birth outcomes have noted that results are heterogeneous across studies, but have nonetheless concluded that associations between air pollution and adverse pregnancy outcomes are likely causal (Maisonet et al. 2004; Sapkota et al. 2010; Shah et al. 2011). Shah et al. concluded that exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ is likely associated with LBW, preterm birth, and small for gestational age births (Shah et al. 2011). These reviews noted that further studies are necessary to clarify which pollutants are the most harmful and to identify during which periods of pregnancy infants are most vulnerable. Inconsistencies among previous studies might result from differences in study populations or in study design such as control for confounders, exposure assessment, statistical methods, and

sample size. Other possible explanations are variation in the exposure period and collinearity among pollutants (Maisonet et al. 2004). However, a key reason studies on PM and pregnancy outcomes differ is that the chemical composition of particles varies by location (Bell et al. 2007a). Previous works demonstrated regional variation in the chemical structure of $PM_{2.5}$ (Bell et al. 2007a), and in $PM_{2.5}$-associated risk for mortality (Zhou et al. 2011). Several studies have used data on components or sources to investigate whether associations between PM and adverse outcomes are related to chemical composition. In the U.S., relative risks of cardiovascular hospitalizations in association with $PM_{2.5}$ total mass are higher in areas with higher $PM_{2.5}$ content of bromine, chromium, nickel and sodium (Zanobetti et al. 2009). In California, long-term exposures to fossil fuel related $PM_{2.5}$ (e.g. sulfate) and crustal related $PM_{2.5}$ (e.g. silicon) are associated with increased mortality (Ostro et al. 2010). In New York City, effect of coal-combustion related components (e.g. selenium) on cardiovascular-related mortality is higher in summer than in winter, while its effect on cardiovascular-related hospital admission is higher in summer than in winter (Ito et al. 2011).

Most studies of $PM_{2.5}$ sources or components have focused on adult hospital admissions or mortality, with only a limited number of studies investigating associations between $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components and birth outcomes. A study conducted in Atlanta reported that $PM_{2.5}$ elemental carbon and water-soluble $PM_{2.5}$ metals, such as copper, were associated with lower birth weight (Darrow et al. 2011). Our previous studies of four counties in Connecticut and Massachusetts found associations between $PM_{2.5}$ components of aluminum, elemental carbon, nickel, silicon, vanadium, and zinc and risk of LBW (Bell et al. 2010).

Given the spatially heterogeneous distribution of $PM_{2.5}$ components (Bell et al. 2007a), there is value in investigating effects of components over a larger spatial area and associations

with components such as organic carbon matter (OCM) that have not been considered previously. In the present study, we investigated associations between exposure to PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$ total mass, $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components, CO, NO_2, O_3, and SO_2 during pregnancy and birth weight for the Northeastern and Mid-Atlantic regions U.S. In previous work, we investigated associations between ambient air pollution and pregnancy outcomes in Connecticut and Massachusetts, but did not consider some key $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components (Bell et al. 2010) or did not consider at all (Bell et al. 2007b). Compared to our previous studies, the present study covers a much larger study area and a population that is 16 times larger, expands the components considered, and evaluates research questions not considered previously, such as potential confounding by gaseous pollutants. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study to date of the effects of $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components on birth weight.

National Research Council Committees and the Health Effects Institute identified research on which characteristics of particles are most harmful as a critical research need (Health Effects Institute 2002; National Research Council of the National Academies 2004). Scientific evidence on the health impacts of $PM_{2.5}$ components will inform understanding of which sources are most harmful and benefit policy making to protect public health from airborne $PM_{2.5}$.

Methods

Birth Data

Birth certificate data for Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, Washington D.C., and West Virginia, U.S., from 1 January, 2000 to December 31, 2007 were obtained from the National Center for Health Statistics. Data there were provided include county of residence, county of birth, birth order, trimester of first prenatal care, date of last menstrual

period (LMP), gestational age, infant's sex, and birth weight, as well as maternal and paternal ages and races, and maternal education, marital status, alcohol consumption and smoking during pregnancy. Further description of these data is available elsewhere (Bell et al. 2007b).

Births with unspecified county of residence or birth, plural deliveries (e.g., twins), gestational period > 44 weeks, gestational period <37 weeks (non-term births), birth weight <1,000g or >5,500g, different counties of residence and delivery, or impossible gestational age and birth weight combinations, were excluded from analysis (Alexander et al. 1996). Births also were excluded if LMP was missing or the estimated birth based on LMP and gestational length was >30 days from the midday of the birth month reported on the birth certificate.

Air Pollution and Weather Data

$PM_{2.5}$ chemical components data were obtained from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Air Explorer (U.S. EPA 2010a). PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ total mass, CO, NO_2, O_3, and SO_2 data were obtained from the EPA Air Quality System for 1999-2007 (U.S. EPA 2010b). We included only counties with $PM_{2.5}$ chemical component data, since these exposures are our primary focus. PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$, and $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components were measured every three to six days. Gaseous pollutants were measured daily, although O_3 was measured mainly during the warm season. Some monitors began or ceased observation during the study period. We investigated $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components identified by previous research and literature review to have potential links to health and/or contribute substantially to $PM_{2.5}$ total mass: aluminum, ammonium ion, arsenic, cadmium, calcium, chlorine, elemental carbon, lead, mercury, nickel, nitrate, organic carbon matter, silicon, sodium ion, sulfur, titanium, vanadium, and zinc (Bell et al. 2007a; Franklin et al. 2008; Haynes et al. 2011; Ostro et al. 2007; Zanobetti et al. 2009).

We calculated apparent temperature (AT), a measure that reflects overall temperature discomfort (Kalkstein et al. 1986), based on daily temperature and dew point temperature data obtained from the National Climatic Data Center (National Climatic Data Center 2010). If weather data were unavailable for a given county, we assigned the AT value for the closest county with weather data.

Exposure Estimation

For each birth we calculated the average level of each pollutant during gestation and each trimester, and average AT during each trimester. Delivery date was estimated based on self-reported LMP and gestational length, assuming conception two weeks after LMP. We defined trimesters as 1-13 weeks, 14-26 weeks, and week 27 to delivery, as in previous studies (Bell et al. 2007b).

Exposures were estimated based on county of residence. Not all counties had data for all pollutants. Measurements from multiple monitors in the same county on the same day were averaged to generate daily pollutant levels. To avoid biases due to changes in measurement frequency, daily pollutant levels and AT values were combined to estimate weekly exposures, which were then averaged to estimate gestational or trimester exposure. Births for which exposure estimates were unavailable for >25% of the weeks in any trimester for a given pollutant were excluded from analyses of that pollutant.

Statistical Analysis

Each birth was categorized as low or normal birth weight using clinically defined LBW (<2,500g). Logistic regression was used to estimate associations between LBW and gestational exposure to each pollutant with adjustment for maternal race (African American, Caucasian, other), marital status (married, unmarried), tobacco consumption during pregnancy (yes, no,

unknown), alcohol consumption during pregnancy (yes, no, unknown), highest education (<12 years, 12 years, 13-15 years, >15 years, unknown), age (<20, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, ≥40 years), infant sex (male, female), gestational length (37-38, 39-40, 41-42, 43-44 weeks), the trimester prenatal care began (1^{st}, 2^{nd}, 3^{rd}, no care, unknown), first in birth order (yes, no, unknown) , delivery method (vaginal, C-section, unknown), average AT for each trimester, season of birth and year of birth. In addition we included regional indicators to adjust for local factors such as area-level socio-economic conditions (see Table 1). We conducted sensitivity analyses restricted to first births to assess the influence of multiple births by the same mother on associations (Zhu et al. 1999).

For pollutants showing statistically significant associations with LBW in single-pollutant models, we conducted two-pollutant models that included pairs of pollutants that were not highly correlated (correlation <0.5). Similarly, for pollutants associated in single-pollutant model, we assessed effects by trimester using a model with trimesters' exposures included simultaneously. Because trimester exposures could be correlated, we performed sensitivity analysis with trimester exposures adjusted to be orthogonal using a method we published previously (Bell et al. 2007b). In brief, we predicted exposures of two trimesters using exposure level of a given trimesters (reference trimester), calculated their residuals, and put them into models besides exposure of reference trimester. This approach can avoid covariance among trimester exposures. This procedure was repeated using each trimester as the reference trimester, and we have four models for trimester analysis in total (main model and three models as sensitivity analyses). Further description of this approach is available in our previous paper (Bell et al. 2007b).

Additional analyses were conducted for pollutants with showing statistically robust results in two-pollutant models. We included interaction terms between gestational pollutant

exposures and sex or race to investigate whether some populations are particularly susceptible, as previous analysis found higher relative risks associated with ambient air pollution in some populations than others (Bell et al. 2007b). Statistical significance was determined at an alpha level of 0.05 for the entire analyses.

Results

There were 7,098,417 births in 419 counties in the study area during the study time period (2000 – 2007). Among them, 2,476,383 (34.9%) infants lived in the 50 counties with monitors for $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components, and 1,385,466 (19.5%) infants in 49 counties had exposure estimates for all pollutants during ≥ 75% of the gestational weeks in all three trimesters. After exclusions (e.g. for pre-term birth, plural deliveries, etc.), our study population consisted of 1,207,800 infants from 49 counties. This corresponds to 17% of the original data,. and it should be noted that some births could have been excluded based on more than one criterion. Many of the counties had only 1 monitor, but some urban counties had multiple monitors. The average number of monitors per county was 1.08 (range 1 to 2) for $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components, and 1.57 (range 1 to 9) for PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$, and gaseous pollutants. The average area of the 49 counties is 540.5 mi^2 (standard deviation (SD) =395.3 mi^2, median=528.6 mi^2), and average population was 511,146 (SD= 453,846, median=433,501). About three-quarters of the monitors were located in urban or suburban areas, while the remainder were located in rural areas. In the 49 counties, the average urbanicity rate based on 2000 U.S. Census values was 81.7% (median 92.1%, minimum 13.8%, maximum 100.0%).

Average male birth weight was 3446.9g (SD=478.2g) with 2.3% LBW. Female birth weight was 3322.7g (SD=458.5g) with 3.4% LBW. About two-thirds of mothers were Caucasian

and a quarter was African American (Table 1). More than 80% of mothers had high school or higher education. Fewer study subjects were born in 2000 and 2001 compared to other years because fewer $PM_{2.5}$ chemical component monitors were in operation during that time.

Births that were excluded because of a lack of monitors but were otherwise eligible were similar to births included in the analysis (see Supplemental Material, Table S1), but included a higher fraction of white mothers (77.5% versus 65.4%), a lower fraction of African-American mothers (16.2% versus 25.3%), and a higher fraction of married mothers (23.4% versus 42.6%). Births excluded for reasons other than a lack of monitors differed with regard to exclusion criteria (e.g., gestational week, birth weight), but were similar to study births with respect to mother's race, age, marital status, and education.

Gestational exposure to pollutants overall (Table 2) and by study area (Supplemental Material Table S2), and there is a spatial exposure variation for most of the pollutants. Some chemical components pairs were highly correlated (Table 3). For example, ammonium ion had correlations of 0.74 and 0.73 with nitrate and sulfate, respectively, likely due to the common form of ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate. Other correlated pairs were calcium and zinc, nickel and vanadium, and nickel and zinc (correlations 0.63 to 0.64). Exposure to O_3 negatively correlated with some $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components (e.g. -0.68 with nickel). The highest and lowest correlations for any region were displayed in Supplemental Material, Table S3.

Associations between confounder variables and LBW, which exclude pollutant exposures, were generally consistent with previous research indicating higher risks of LBW for female infants, first births, or infants with mothers who were African American, unmarried, or started prenatal care after the first three months of pregnancy (Supplemental Material, Table S4). Lower maternal education attainment was associated with LBW. A U-shape relationship was observed

for maternal age, with higher risk at low or high ages. There were no statistically significant differences in risk of LBW by birth year, but there were differences by region. For instance, relative risk is higher in urban area (e.g. Manhattan, New York), than rural area (e.g. New Hampshire).

An interquartile range (IQR) increase in $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components of aluminum, calcium, elemental carbon, nickel, silicon, titanium, and zinc were significantly associated with LBW (Table 4). IQR increases in PM_{10}, CO, NO_2, and SO_2 also were positively associated with LBW, whereas O_3 showed a statistically significant negative association with LBW. When evaluated among first births only, the significant associations with chemical components remained with the exception of silicon ($p = 0.0504$). Associations were no longer significant for PM_{10} and the gaseous pollutants, though the directions of the associations were unchanged (Table 4).

We estimated effects by trimester for all pollutants that were significantly associated with LBW in single pollutant models and report ranges of trimester-specific associations that were consistent across the main model and three sensitivity models (Table 5). Statistically significant associations were found for aluminum (all trimesters), calcium, nickel, silicon, and zinc (third trimester), elemental carbon and titanium (first trimester), and protective effect for O_3 (first trimester). No consistent trimester results were found for other chemical components or gaseous pollutants (data not shown).

Only associations between LBW and aluminum, elemental carbon, nickel and titanium were robust to adjustment for all co-pollutants with correlation < 0.5 (Figure 1). Results for other pollutants (calcium, silicon, zinc, CO, NO_2, O_3, SO_2, PM_{10}) were generally robust, but were not

statistically significant after adjustment for at least one co-pollutant (see Supplemental Material, Figure S1).

For pollutants with consistent associations with LBW in two-pollutant models ($PM_{2.5}$ aluminum elemental carbon, nickel and titanium), we investigated whether associations differed by race or sex. The relative risk of LBW associated with an IQR increase in $PM_{2.5}$ elemental carbon was 7.3% (95% confidence interval (CI): 4.9, 9.6%) lower among infants of African-American mothers compared to white mothers, and 3.2% (95% CI: 0.8, 5.6%) lower for females compared to males. The relative odds of LBW with an IQR increase in $PM_{2.5}$ nickel 10.2% (95% CI: 7.9, 12.4%) lower among infants of African-American mothers than white mothers, and 4.6% (95% CI: 2.2, 7.1%) lower for females than males. Associations between aluminum and titanium and LBW did not exhibit statistically significant differences by race or sex (data not shown).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study to explore the association between $PM_{2.5}$ chemical composition and pregnancy outcomes. Chemical components of aluminum, calcium, elemental carbon, nickel, silicon, titanium and zinc were identified as a potentially harmful, whereas statistically significant positive associations were not observed for ammonium ion, arsenic, cadmium, chlorine, lead, mercury, nitrate, organic carbon matter, sodium ion, sulfate, or vanadium. Of the components, results for aluminum, elemental carbon, nickel and titanium were robust to co-pollutant adjustment. These chemical components likely result from different sources. Although all components have multiple sources, traffic emissions are the major source of $PM_{2.5}$ elemental carbon, oil combustion is the major source of $PM_{2.5}$ nickel, road dust is the major source of $PM_{2.5}$ aluminum, and crustal material is a primary source of $PM_{2.5}$ titanium

(Bell et al. 2007a; Hains et al. 2007). Our results are consistent with our previous study conducted in Connecticut and Massachusetts, where $PM_{2.5}$ aluminum, elemental carbon, and nickel were associated with LBW (Bell et al. 2010).

Previous studies have reported associations between chemical component exposures and a range of health outcomes. For example, $PM_{2.5}$ elemental carbon was associated with hospitalization for childhood respiratory related disease, and $PM_{2.5}$ nickel was associated with cardiovascular-related hospitalization (Ito et al. 2011; Ostro et al. 2009). We identified associations between birth outcomes and multiple $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components. As a potential future work, researchers may apply source appointment or other methods to identify the origin of harmful pollutants (Lall et al. 2011), but source misclassification would be a potential concern given the size of our study region and heterogeneous distribution of $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components and sources (Bell et al. 2011). Location-specific source apportionment analysis may be necessary for large study areas or when the distribution of $PM_{2.5}$ sources varies within a study area.

For gaseous pollutants, LBW was associated with exposure to CO, NO_2, and SO_2. Our results also indicated a negative association between O_3 and LBW. Some of these results (i.e. CO, NO_2, SO_2) are similar to those from previous studies (Darrow et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2011). However, none of the gaseous pollutants were significantly associated with LBW in first-birth-only analyses or based on two-pollutant models. This may indicate that previous pregnancy history is not fully taken account in our model, or that gaseous pollutants are acting as surrogates for other pollutants. Other statistical approaches are needed to clarify potential effects of these exposures, like longitudinal models or more sophisticated multi-pollutant models.

Associations between LBW and individual pollutants differed by trimester. Higher exposure of specific pollutants in the first trimester may relate to placenta development, whereas

exposure in later stages may affect maternal vascular alternation, which causes the fetal growth retardation (Lin et al. 1999; Mannes et al. 2005). We found statistically significant associations with LBW for exposure during the first trimester to $PM_{2.5}$ aluminum, elemental carbon, and titanium, second trimester for $PM_{2.5}$ aluminum, and for exposure during the third trimester to $PM_{10,}$ $PM_{2.5}$ aluminum, calcium, nickel, silicon and zinc. Some of these trimester results are consistent with our previous research in Connecticut and Massachusetts (Bell et al. 2010); however, other studies have reported associations with exposures during different trimesters. For instance, a study in California found that exposures to PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ in the first trimester were associated with lower birth weight (Morello-Frosch et al. 2010), and in Spain exposure to NO_2 in the first trimester was associated with lower birth weight (Ballester et al. 2010). These inconsistencies might relate to differences in the study area or study design. Another potential reason is misclassification of the gestational exposure, as many studies, including the present study, determine gestational exposure based on the LMP and gestational length reported by birth certificate. LMP is likely reported as an approximate date rather than the actual LMP, resulting in a less accurate delivery date (Bell et al. 2007b). This approximation could lead to exposure misclassification that would have a larger effect on trimester-specific exposures than average gestational exposures. Further studies are needed, using actual birth date along with gestational week. Additional study is needed to better understand effects by trimester, which may inform understanding of high risk periods by exposing ambient air pollutants.

We observed that associations of LBW with $PM_{2.5}$ elemental carbon and nickel were stronger among male infants than female infants and among infants of white mothers than infants of African-American mothers. These findings differs from a previous study that reported stronger associations between LBW and $PM_{2.5}$ total mass among infants of African-American

mothers than white mothers (Bell et al. 2007b). This issue warrants further study to better understand susceptibilities.

The biological mechanisms that may contribute to effects of air pollution on birth outcomes are uncertain, and various hypotheses exist. For instance, NO_2 exposure during pregnancy may limit placental vascular function and disturb fetal growth (Clifton et al. 2001). CO may react with oxygen on hemoglobin binding sites, reducing oxygen delivery (Maisonet et al. 2004). Fetal growth may be retarded by direct toxic effects of air pollution, similar to effects of smoking (Ritz et al. 1999). The mechanism of PM effects on birth outcomes could be related to the transfer of toxic components to the fetus from PM that has accumulated in the mother's lungs (Ritz et al. 2007). PM has a complex chemical composition, and its chemical components may affect outcomes through different biological pathways. One possible explanation is that exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ metal related components, including aluminum and titanium, increases oxidative stress burdens leading to adverse health outcomes (Wei et al. 2009). There is a need for further studies to understand how individual $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components and combinations of components affect the fetus.

Limitations of this study include the reliance on birth certificate data. Some previous works have described shortcomings regarding birth certificate variables, especially for tobacco and alcohol use, prenatal care, pregnancy complications, and labor (Dobie et al. 1998; Northam et al. 2006). In fact, our results showed unknown smoking status as a risk factor for LBW (Supplemental Material, Table S4), suggesting that those with unknown smoking status were more likely to have been smokers than non-smokers, as maternal tobacco consumption affects LBW (Darrow et al. 2006; DiFranza et al. 2004; Horta et al. 1997; Parker et al. 2008). On the other hand, several researchers investigated the reliability and validity of birth certificate data,

and concluded that the data are adequate for adjustment purposes, though they warranted caution (Honein et al. 2001; Roohan et al. 2003). The reliability and validity of birth certificate data are not fully known; however, the key variables of interest for our study (i.e. birth weight, residence) are likely to be reliable and have some of the highest validity of any birth certificate variables (Northam et al. 2006; Shaw et al. 1992). A further challenge is that levels of some chemical components, such as arsenic, might be below the minimum detection limit, which could lead to exposure misclassification. In our data, more than 25% of arsenic measurements were zero, which may be due to levels that were below the detection limit. Another limitation is that we estimated exposures by residential county at birth, and were not able to incorporate actual address or prior residences if mothers moved during pregnancy. In addition, this approach does not address spatial heterogeneity of pollutants within a county, which may be particularly important for larger counties (Peng et al. 2010). Exposure misclassification may occur for residents living far from monitors. In our data, the maximum distance from a monitor to the border of a county was 75.6 km (Essex county, New York). A recent study showed that correlations between levels of some $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components were low for paired monitors that were less than 10km away (Bell et al. 2011). Our analysis omitted many births because many counties do not have $PM_{2.5}$ chemical component monitors (Supplemental Material, Table S1). Further ambient monitors are warranted at more locations and more frequent observations. Monitors in sub-urban and rural counties are particularly needed, as monitors tend to be in urban counties, which may hinder study of the full range of population characteristics (Bravo et al. 2011; Miranda et al. 2011). A larger study could also address potential differences in effects across types of locations, such as urban versus rural, as most of the counties in our dataset were urban. In terms of residential mobility during pregnancy, our approach may not introduce

substantial misclassification because recent studies found that most moving take place within a short distance while worthy of future studies (Bell et al. Accepted; Madsen et al. 2010). Further limitations are that birth certificate data do not contain parental weight or genetic information. Several studies have reported that these factors are also linked to LBW (Freathy et al. 2010; Frederick et al. 2008).

Conclusions

We found evidence of links between air pollution, including $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components and gaseous pollutants, and LBW. We observed these associations even though most of our study region, except a few large city areas, was in compliance with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}, and all of the study region was in compliance with regulatory standards for CO, SO_2, and NO_2 (U.S. EPA 2009). Our results suggest that prenatal exposures to some $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components may be more harmful than others, but current regulations are based exclusively on particle size and mass concentration. Our findings also suggest that even if two regions had identical levels of $PM_{2.5}$ total mass, one might have levels of $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components that result in higher risks of LBW. This is likely true for other health outcomes; our previous studies found that some specific chemical components are associated with hospital admission (Bell et al. 2009). Further scientific evidence on which components and sources of $PM_{2.5}$ are most harmful would aid decision makers in developing policies that intended to protect public health. Additional studies covering different regions, using more detailed birth data, and investigating other birth outcomes, such as preterm birth and small for gestational age, are needed to estimate the differential toxicity of various types of air pollutants, including $PM_{2.5}$ chemical components, on birth outcomes.

References

Alexander GR, Himes JH, Kaufman RB, Mor J, Kogan M. 1996. A United States national reference for fetal growth. Obstet Gynecol 87(2):163-168.

Ballester F, Estarlich M, Iniguez C, Llop S, Ramon R, et al. 2010. Air pollution exposure during pregnancy and reduced birth size: a prospective birth cohort study in Valencia, Spain. Environ Health 9:6.

Bell ML, Belanger K. Accepted. Review of research on residential mobility during pregnancy: Consequences for assessment of prenatal environmental exposures. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol.

Bell ML, Belanger K, Ebisu K, Gent JF, Lee HJ, et al. 2010. Prenatal Exposure to Fine Particulate Matter and Birth Weight Variations by Particulate Constituents and Sources. Epidemiology 21(6):884-891.

Bell ML, Dominici F, Ebisu K, Zeger SL, Samet JM. 2007a. Spatial and temporal variation in PM(2.5) chemical composition in the United States for health effects studies. Environ Health Perspect 115(7):989-995.

Bell ML, Ebisu K, Belanger K. 2007b. Ambient air pollution and low birth weight in Connecticut and Massachusetts. Environ Health Perspect 115(7):1118-1124.

Bell ML, Ebisu K, Peng RD. 2011. Community-level spatial heterogeneity of chemical constituent levels of fine particulates and implications for epidemiological research. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 21(4):372-384.

Bell ML, Ebisu K, Peng RD, Samet JM, Dominici F. 2009. Hospital admissions and chemical composition of fine particle air pollution. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 179(12):1115-1120.

Bobak M, Leon DA. 1999. Pregnancy outcomes and outdoor air pollution: an ecological study in districts of the Czech Republic 1986-8. Occup Environ Med 56(8):539-543.

Bravo MA, Bell ML. 2011. Spatial heterogeneity of PM10 and O3 in Sao Paulo, Brazil, and implications for human health studies. J Air Waste Manag Assoc 61(1):69-77.

Clifton VL, Giles WB, Smith R, Bisits AT, Hempenstall PA, et al. 2001. Alterations of placental vascular function in asthmatic pregnancies. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 164(4):546-553.

Darrow LA, Klein M, Strickland MJ, Mulholland JA, Tolbert PE. 2011. Ambient air pollution and birth weight in full-term infants in Atlanta, 1994-2004. Environ Health Perspect 119(5):731-737.

Darrow LA, Woodruff TJ, Parker JD. 2006. Maternal smoking as a confounder in studies of air pollution and infant mortality. Epidemiology 17(5):592-593.

DiFranza JR, Aligne CA, Weitzman M. 2004. Prenatal and postnatal environmental tobacco smoke exposure and children's health. Pediatrics 113(4 Suppl):1007-1015.

Dobie SA, Baldwin LM, Rosenblatt RA, Fordyce MA, Andrilla CH, et al. 1998. How well do birth certificates describe the pregnancies they report? The Washington State experience with low-risk pregnancies. Matern Child Health J 2(3):145-154.

Franklin M, Koutrakis P, Schwartz J. 2008. The role of particle composition on the association between PM2.5 and mortality. Epidemiology 19(5):680-689.

Freathy RM, Mook-Kanamori DO, Sovio U, Prokopenko I, Timpson NJ, et al. 2010. Variants in ADCY5 and near CCNL1 are associated with fetal growth and birth weight. Nat Genet 42(5):430-435.

Frederick IO, Williams MA, Sales AE, Martin DP, Killien M. 2008. Pre-pregnancy body mass index, gestational weight gain, and other maternal characteristics in relation to infant birth weight. Matern Child Health J 12(5):557-567.

Hains JC, Chen LWA, Taubman BF, Doddridge BG, Dickerson RR. 2007. A, side-by-side comparison of filter-based PM2.5 measurements at a suburban site: A closure study. Atmos Environ 41(29):6167-6184.

Haynes EN, Chen A, Ryan P, Succop P, Wright J, et al. 2011. Exposure to airborne metals and particulate matter and risk for youth adjudicated for criminal activity. Environ Res 111(8):1243-1248.

Health Effects Institute. 2002. Understanding the Health Effects of Components of the Particulate Matter Mix: Progress and Next Steps. Cambridge, MA, Health Effects Institute.

Honein MA, Paulozzi LJ, Watkins ML. 2001. Maternal smoking and birth defects: Validity of birth certificate data for effect estimation. Public Health Reports 116(4):327-335.

Horta BL, Victora CG, Menezes AM, Halpern R, Barros FC. 1997. Low birthweight, preterm births and intrauterine growth retardation in relation to maternal smoking. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 11(2):140-151.

Huynh M, Woodruff TJ, Parker JD, Schoendorf KC. 2006. Relationships between air pollution and preterm birth in California. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 20(6):454-461.

Ito K, Mathes R, Ross Z, Nadas A, Thurston G, et al. 2011. Fine particulate matter constituents associated with cardiovascular hospitalizations and mortality in New York City. Environ Health Perspect 119(4):467-473.

Kalkstein LS, Valimont KM. 1986. An Evaluation of Summer Discomfort in the United-States Using a Relative Climatological Index. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 67(7):842-848.

Kannan S, Misra DP, Dvonch JT, Krishnakumar A. 2006. Exposures to airborne particulate matter and adverse perinatal outcomes: a biologically plausible mechanistic framework for exploring potential effect modification by nutrition. Environ Health Perspect 114(11):1636-1642.

Lall R, Ito K, Thurston GD. 2011. Distributed lag analyses of daily hospital admissions and source-apportioned fine particle air pollution. Environ Health Perspect 119(4):455-460.

Lin CC, Santolaya-Forgas J. 1999. Current concepts of fetal growth restriction: part II. Diagnosis and management. Obstet Gynecol 93(1):140-146.

Lin CM, Li CY, Yang GY, Mao IF. 2004. Association between maternal exposure to elevated ambient sulfur dioxide during pregnancy and term low birth weight. Environ Res 96(1):41-50.

Liu S, Krewski D, Shi Y, Chen Y, Burnett RT. 2003. Association between gaseous ambient air pollutants and adverse pregnancy outcomes in Vancouver, Canada. Environ Health Perspect 111(14):1773-1778.

Madsen C, Gehring U, Walker SE, Brunekreef B, Stigum H, et al. 2010. Ambient air pollution exposure, residential mobility and term birth weight in Oslo, Norway. Environ Res 110(4):363-371.

Maisonet M, Correa A, Misra D, Jaakkola JJ. 2004. A review of the literature on the effects of ambient air pollution on fetal growth. Environ Res 95(1):106-115.

Mannes T, Jalaludin B, Morgan G, Lincoln D, Sheppeard V, et al. 2005. Impact of ambient air pollution on birth weight in Sydney, Australia. Occup Environ Med 62(8):524-530.

Maroziene L, Grazuleviciene R. 2002. Maternal exposure to low-level air pollution and pregnancy outcomes: a population-based study. Environ Health 1(1):6.

Miranda ML, Edwards SE, Keating MH, Paul CJ. 2011. Making the environmental justice grade: the relative burden of air pollution exposure in the United States. Int J Environ Res Public Health 8(6):1755-1771.

Morello-Frosch R, Jesdale BM, Sadd JL, Pastor M. 2010. Ambient air pollution exposure and full-term birth weight in California. Environ Health 9:44.

National Climatic Data Center. 2010. Land-based Data. Available: http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/land.html [Accessed 19th Dec 2010].

National Research Council of the National Academies. 2004. Research Priorities for Airborne Particulate Matter: IV. Continuing Research Progress. Washington, DC.

Northam S, Knapp TR. 2006. The reliability and validity of birth certificates. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 35(1):3-12.

Ostro B, Feng WY, Broadwin R, Green S, Lipsett M. 2007. The effects of components of fine particulate air pollution on mortality in California: Results from CALFINE. Environ Health Perspect 115(1):13-19.

Ostro B, Lipsett M, Reynolds P, Goldberg D, Hertz A, et al. 2010. Long-term exposure to constituents of fine particulate air pollution and mortality: results from the California Teachers Study. Environ Health Perspect 118(3):363-369.

Ostro B, Roth L, Malig B, Marty M. 2009. The effects of fine particle components on respiratory hospital admissions in children. Environ Health Perspect 117(3):475-480.

Parker JD, Woodruff TJ. 2008. Influences of study design and location on the relationship between particulate matter air pollution and birthweight. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 22(3):214-227.

Parker JD, Woodruff TJ, Basu R, Schoendorf KC. 2005. Air pollution and birth weight among term infants in California. Pediatrics 115(1):121-128.

Peng RD, Bell ML. 2010. Spatial misalignment in time series studies of air pollution and health data. Biostatistics 11(4):720-740.

Ritz B, Wilhelm M, Hoggatt KJ, Ghosh JK. 2007. Ambient air pollution and preterm birth in the environment and pregnancy outcomes study at the University of California, Los Angeles. Am J Epidemiol 166(9):1045-1052.

Ritz B, Yu F. 1999. The effect of ambient carbon monoxide on low birth weight among children born in southern California between 1989 and 1993. Environ Health Perspect 107(1):17-25.

Roohan PJ, Josberger RE, Acar J, Dabir P, Feder HM, et al. 2003. Validation of birth certificate data in New York State. J Community Health 28(5):335-346.

Salam MT, Millstein J, Li YF, Lurmann FW, Margolis HG, et al. 2005. Birth outcomes and prenatal exposure to ozone, carbon monoxide, and particulate matter: results from the Children's Health Study. Environ Health Perspect 113(11):1638-1644.

Sapkota A, Chelikowsky AP, Nachman KE, Cohen AJ, Ritz B. 2010. Exposure to particulate matter and adverse birth outcomes: a comprehensive review and meta-analysis. Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health:10.1007/s11869-11010-10106-11863.

Seo JH, Leem JH, Ha EH, Kim OJ, Kim BM, et al. 2010. Population-attributable risk of low birthweight related to PM10 pollution in seven Korean cities. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 24(2):140-148.

Shah PS, Balkhair T. 2011. Air pollution and birth outcomes: a systematic review. Environ Int 37(2):498-516.

Shaw GM, Malcoe LH. 1992. Residential mobility during pregnancy for mothers of infants with or without congenital cardiac anomalies: a reprint. Arch Environ Health 47(3):236-238.

U.S. EPA. 2009. Green Book Nonattainment Areas for Criteria Pollutants. Available: http://www.epa.gov/air/oaqps/greenbk [Accessed 28th Apr. 2009].

U.S. EPA. 2010a. Air Explorer. Available: http://www.epa.gov/airexplorer [Accessed 19th Dec 2010].

U.S. EPA. 2010b. Air Quality System. Available: http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/detaildata/downloadaqsdata.htm [Accessed 19th Dec 2010].

Wei Y, Han IK, Shao M, Hu M, Zhang OJ, et al. 2009. PM2.5 constituents and oxidative DNA damage in humans. Environ Sci Technol 43(13):4757-4762.

Wu J, Wilhelm M, Chung J, Ritz B. 2011. Comparing exposure assessment methods for traffic-related air pollution in an adverse pregnancy outcome study. Environ Res 111(5):685-692.

Zanobetti A, Franklin M, Koutrakis P, Schwartz J. 2009. Fine particulate air pollution and its components in association with cause-specific emergency admissions. Environ Health 8:58.

Zhou J, Ito K, Lall R, Lippmann M, Thurston G. 2011. Time-series analysis of mortality effects of fine particulate matter components in Detroit and Seattle. Environ Health Perspect 119(4):461-466.

Zhu BP, Rolfs RT, Nangle BE, Horan JM. 1999. Effect of the interval between pregnancies on perinatal outcomes. N Engl J Med 340(8):589-594.

Table 1. Characteristics of study births (n=1,207,800)

Characteristic	Mean ± S.D. or Number (%)
Birth Weight (g) [Mean +/- Standard Deviation]	3385.9 +/- 472.8
Low Birth Weight (< 2,500g)	34,038 (2.8%)
Sex	
Male	614,923 (50.9%)
Female	592,877 (49.1%)
Race	
White	789,682 (65.4%)
African American	305,798 (25.3%)
Other	112,320 (9.3%)
Maternal Age	
< 20 years	99,017 (8.2%)
20 to 24	249,077 (20.6%)
25 to 29	323,322 (26.8%)
30 to 34	324,221 (26.8%)
35 to 39	173,093 (14.3%)
≥ 40	39,070 (3.2%)
Maternal Marital Status	
Married	720,088 (59.6%)
Single	487,712 (40.4%)
Maternal Education	
Less than high school	214,063 (17.7%)
High school	327,399 (27.1%)
Some college	261,206 (21.6%)
College	394,363 (32.7%)
Unknown	10,769 (0.9%)
Maternal Alcohol Consumption During Pregnancy	
Yes	3,785 (0.3%)
No	688,991 (57.1%)
Unknown	515,024 (42.6%)
Maternal Tobacco Consumption During Pregnancy	
Yes	94,559 (7.8%)
No	1,106,456 (91.6%)
Unknown	6,785 (0.6%)
Length of Gestation	
37 to 38 weeks	341,094 (28.2%)
39 to 40	639,772 (53.0%)
41 to 42	193,700 (16.0%)
43 to 44	33,234 (2.8%)

Table 1 (continued).

Characteristic	Mean ± S.D. or Number (%)
Month Prenatal Care Begin	
First 3 months of pregnancy	949,081 (78.6%)
4th to 6th months of pregnancy	184,828 (15.3%)
7th month of pregnancy or later	44,938 (3.7%)
No care	6,822 (0.6%)
Unknown	22,131 (1.8%)
Birth Order	
First baby	404,233 (33.5%)
Not first baby	795,322 (65.9%)
Unknown	8,245 (0.7%)
Region	
Connecticut	33,246 (2.8%)
Delaware	40,412 (3.4%)
Massachusetts	51,674 (4.3%)
Maryland and DC	111,187 (9.2%)
New Hampshire	26,314 (2.2%)
New Jersey	146,508 (12.1%)
Manhattan area, New York	344,901 (28.6%)
New York other than Manhattan area	82,194 (6.8%)
Eastern PA	203,428 (16.8%)
Western PA	100,355 (8.3%)
Rhode Island	31,108 (2.6%)
Virginia	20,399 (1.7%)
Vermont	8,653 (0.7%)
West Virginia	7,421 (0.6%)
Birth Year	
2000	8,809 (0.7%)
2001	35,821 (3.0%)
2002	123,951 (10.3%)
2003	202,008 (16.7%)
2004	180,076 (14.9%)
2005	213,465 (17.7%)
2006	219,494 (18.2%)
2007	224,176 (18.6%)
Birth Season	
Winter	286,495 (23.7%)
Spring	291,176 (24.1%)
Summer	310,798 (25.7%)
Fall	319,331 (26.4%)

Table 2. Summary statistics of gestational pollutant exposures

Pollutant	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interquartile Range
PM_{10} total mass ($\mu g/m^3$)	22.34	4.31	4.93
$PM_{2.5}$ total mass ($\mu g/m^3$)	13.41	2.05	2.71
$PM_{2.5}$ chemical components ($\mu g/m^3$)			
Aluminum	0.019	0.010	0.010
Ammonium Ion	1.827	0.437	0.50
Arsenic	0.00116	0.00056	0.0005
Cadmium	0.00159	0.00086	0.0013
Calcium	0.046	0.023	0.021
Chlorine	0.037	0.031	0.035
Elemental Carbon	0.801	0.324	0.335
Lead	0.005	0.003	0.0022
Mercury	0.001	0.001	0.0008
Nickel	0.006	0.006	0.0071
Nitrate	1.836	0.705	0.90
Organic Carbon Matter	3.593	0.964	1.10
Silicon	0.07474	0.03037	0.033
Sodium ion	0.154	0.095	0.076
Sulfate	4.148	0.895	1.21
Titanium	0.00417	0.00176	0.0022
Vanadium	0.00434	0.00260	0.0043
Zinc	0.019	0.010	0.015
Gaseous pollutants (ppm)			
CO	0.529	0.194	0.214
NO_2	0.021	0.007	0.011
O_3	0.023	0.005	0.007
SO_2	6.08	2.52	3.16

Table 3. Pearson correlation of gestational pollutant exposures

	$PM_{2.5}$	Al	NH_4^+	As	Cd	Ca	Cl	EC	Pb	Hg	Ni	NO_3^-	OCM	Si	Na^+	$SO_4^=$	Ti	V	Zn	CO	NO_2	O_3	SO_2
PM_{10}	0.44	0.18	0.36	0.15	0.29	0.15	-0.04	0.35	0.18	0.33	-0.02	0.07	0.36	0.16	-0.06	0.46	0.26	0.15	0.06	0.09	0.27	0.19	-0.10
$PM_{2.5}$		0.12	0.82	0.38	0.32	0.25	0.12	0.44	0.35	0.30	0.20	0.49	0.56	0.38	-0.07	0.81	0.50	0.14	0.35	0.06	0.26	-0.12	0.39
Al			0.08	0.01	-0.09	0.27	0.05	0.28	0.06	-0.02	-0.15	-0.15	0.07	0.49	-0.16	0.12	0.14	0.02	0.07	-0.09	-0.03	0.22	-0.07
NH_4^+				0.40	0.28	0.30	0.23	0.36	0.36	0.31	0.15	0.74	0.47	0.24	-0.07	0.73	0.38	0.09	0.33	-0.01	0.25	-0.12	0.26
As					0.38	-0.02	0.28	0.11	0.78	0.38	-0.19	0.22	0.17	0.13	-0.16	0.40	0.06	-0.24	0.22	-0.30	-0.28	0.10	0.12
Cd						-0.05	-0.08	0.14	0.20	0.58	-0.09	0.20	0.18	0.14	-0.14	0.26	0.27	0.05	-0.02	0.00	-0.02	0.06	0.06
Ca							0.23	0.44	0.21	0.05	0.39	0.29	0.24	0.28	0.15	0.09	0.46	0.40	0.64	0.03	0.40	-0.28	0.39
Cl								0.26	0.25	-0.15	0.20	0.37	0.20	0.08	0.08	-0.08	-0.02	0.17	0.44	-0.05	0.15	-0.32	0.19
EC									0.25	0.14	0.49	0.27	0.53	0.15	0.01	0.16	0.35	0.50	0.59	0.37	0.65	-0.52	0.49
Pb										0.26	0.03	0.24	0.26	0.16	-0.01	0.28	0.22	-0.10	0.42	-0.16	-0.08	0.02	0.29
Hg											-0.11	0.18	0.12	0.00	-0.20	0.27	0.18	0.01	0.03	-0.11	-0.06	0.07	0.01
Ni												0.30	0.23	0.07	0.27	-0.06	0.25	0.64	0.63	0.34	0.72	-0.68	0.61
NO_3^-													0.32	0.06	0.11	0.16	0.22	0.23	0.34	0.12	0.41	-0.52	0.36
OCM														0.21	0.20	0.38	0.42	0.33	0.31	0.18	0.45	-0.09	0.25
Si															0.18	0.37	0.50	0.11	0.15	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.12
Na^+																-0.08	0.24	0.29	0.22	0.15	0.29	-0.23	0.01
$SO_4^=$																	0.41	-0.09	0.12	-0.06	-0.03	0.20	0.09
Ti																		0.26	0.35	0.30	0.33	-0.08	0.24
V																			0.38	0.33	0.68	-0.57	0.26
Zn																				0.06	0.47	-0.59	0.58
CO																					0.55	-0.28	0.27
NO_2																						-0.77	0.53
O_3																							-0.61

Table 4. Percentage change in odds of low birth weight per interquartile range increment in pollutant for the gestational period (95% confidence interval)

Pollutant	Original Data	First Births Only
PM_{10} Total Mass ($\mu g/m^3$)	3.2(0.7,5.8)**	4.0(-0.2,8.3)*
$PM_{2.5}$ Total Mass ($\mu g/m^3$)	2.2(-0.2,4.8)*	3.2(-0.7,7.3)
Aluminum	4.9(3.4,6.5)**	4.7(2.1,7.2)**
Ammonium Ion	-0.4(-2.5,1.8)	-1.1(-4.5,2.4)
Arsenic	-0.9(-2.3,0.6)	-0.7(-3.0,1.6)
Cadmium	1.1(-1.5,3.7)	4.2(0.1,8.5)**
Calcium	3.0(1.6,4.3)**	3.9(1.7,6.1)**
Chlorine	-0.8(-2.3,0.8)	0.0(-2.4,2.5)
Elemental Carbon	4.7(3.2,6.2)**	4.8(2.5,7.3)**
Lead	0.0(-1.3,1.2)	-0.1(-2.1,1.9)
Mercury	0.9(-1.5,3.4)	-2.7(-6.5,1.2)
Nickel	5.7(2.7,8.8)**	6.5(1.6,11.5)**
Nitrate	-2.5(-5.1,0.2)*	-1.2(-5.4,3.2)
Organic Carbon Material	0.5(-1.0,2.1)	-0.2(-2.6,2.3)
Silicon	1.4(0.0,2.9)**	2.3(0.0,4.7)*
Sodium Ion	-0.9(-2.0,0.2)*	-0.6(-2.3,1.2)
Sulfate	-2.5(-5.4,0.5)	-2.4(-7.1,2.4)
Titanium	5.0(3.1,7.0)**	5.5(2.4,8.7)**
Vanadium	-1.7(-4.9,1.6)	-1.0(-6.0,4.4)
Zinc	4.4(1.7,7.2)**	5.5(1.2,10.1)**
Gaseous Pollutants (ppm)		
CO	3.3(1.5,5.1)**	0.8(-2.0,3.8)
NO_2	4.7(1.4,8.1)**	1.1(-3.9,6.4)
O_3	-6.3(-11,-1.3)**	-5.5(-13.1,2.8)
SO_2	3.1(0.8,5.5)**	2.5(-1.0,6.1)

Note: * p-value 0.05-0.1, ** p-value <0.05. Each logistic regression model was adjusted by maternal race, marital status, tobacco and alcohol consumption during pregnancy, mother's highest education, and mother's age; infant sex; gestational length; the trimester prenatal care began; first in birth order; delivery method; average AT for each trimester; season of birth; year of birth; and regional indicators. Interquartile value of each pollutant is listed in Table 2.

Table 5. Percentage change in risk of low birth weight per interquartile increment in pollutant for trimester exposure

Pollutant	Trimester	Lowest effect to highest effect across multiple models
PM_{10} Total Mass	3rd	2.8 to 3.0
Aluminum	1st	1.5 to 2.6
	2nd	1.7 to 3.0
	3rd	1.6 to 2.6
Calcium	3rd	2.5 to 2.8
Elemental Carbon	1st	3.1 to 4.3
Nickel	3rd	3.4 to 5.0
Silicon	3rd	1.3 to 1.4
Titanium	1st	2.1 to 3.5
Zinc	3rd	2.1 to 3.0
O_3	1st	-5.0 to -4.7

Note: Results are presented for pollutants and trimesters with consistent significant associations across the trimester models referenced in the methods section. Numbers are the range of effect in the alternative trimester models. No consistent trimester associations were observed for CO, NO_2, and SO_2. Each model was adjusted by maternal race, marital status, tobacco and alcohol consumption during pregnancy, highest education, and age; infant sex; gestational length; the trimester prenatal care began; first in birth order; delivery method; averaged AT for each trimester; season of birth; year of birth; and regional indicators.

Figure Legend

Figure 1. Percentage change in relative risk of low birth weight per interquartile increment in selected pollutants for gestational exposure with single (labeled as “None”) and two pollutant (including the pollutant listed to the left of the estimates plus the pollutant indicated next to each estimate) logistic regression models. The point represents the central estimate and the horizontal line represents the 95% confidence interval.

Primary Pollutants

Al — Adjusted by: None, As, Ca, Cd, Cl, EC, Hg, NH_4^+, NO_3^-, Na^+, Ni, OCM, Pb, Si, $SO_4^=$, Ti, V, Zn, CO, NO_2, O_3, SO_2

EC — Adjusted by: None, Al, As, Ca, Cd, Cl, Hg, NH_4^+, NO_3^-, Na^+, Ni, Pb, Si, $SO_4^=$, Ti, CO, SO_2

Ni — Adjusted by: None, Al, As, Ca, Cd, Cl, EC, Hg, NH_4^+, NO_3^-, Na^+, OCM, Pb, Si, $SO_4^=$, Ti, CO

Ti — Adjusted by: None, Al, As, Ca, Cd, Cl, EC, Hg, NH_4^+, NO_3^-, Na^+, Ni, OCM, Pb, $SO_4^=$, V, Zn, CO, NO_2, O_3, SO_2

−2 0 2 4 6 8 10

% Change in Relative Risk of LBW